

# Uncorrected refractive error and amblyopia in school children in Jerusalem Ariela Gordon-Shaag<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Ostrin<sup>2</sup>, Jonathan Levine<sup>1</sup>, Ravid Doron<sup>1</sup> and Einat Shneor<sup>1</sup>

Disclosures: None

This project was supported by the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation

**Purpose:** To investigate the prevalence of uncorrected refractive error schoolchildren in Jerusalem.

### Methods:

Healthy boys ages 6-12 from previous research studies<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Parental screening questionnaire to exclude children with amblyopia, s and hyperopia.

A full eye exam was then performed.

Habitual visual acuity (HBA) was measured including with glasses if the presented with them

Cycloplegic autorefraction was measured (VX130 Luneau) Definitions:

- Visual Impairment (VI) = HBA  $\leq 20/40$ .
- Amblyopia = best corrected VA≤20/40 in at least one eye
- Astigmatism as ≤-0.75 D

 Myopia as spherical equivalent (SE) ≤-0.50 D and hyperopia as ≥+0 Descriptive statistics were used to calculate the prevalence of amblyopi each refractive error.



## Dept of Optometry, Hadassah Academic College

					H
r in	Results:				
	205 boys (average age 8.8 ± 1	.7)			COL
	2% of the entire cohort presen	ted with an	nblyopia.		
	The prevalence of visual impa	irment was	28% (N=	57), with	
strabismus	21.4% (N=44) and 6.3% (N=13) for both eyes and one eye,				
	respectively.		//		Uncorre
	4.4% of children presented with hyperopia and VI.				
e children	Refractive error was the cause of the VI in 93% (N=53) of the children, while the rest (7%, N=4) were diagnosed with				
	Visual impairment was caused by myopia or hyperopia in 83% (N=44) and $17\%$ (N=9), respectively. In addition, 38%				
	(N=20) of the children with visual impairment had astigmatism				
0.50 D bia and		Monocular	binocular	Subjects	Cyclople
		N	N	N (%)	
	Myopia (SE) ≤-0.50	20	55	75 (36.6%)	
	Total Hyperopia	30	74	104 (50.8%)	Axial ler
	Significant Hyperopia (SE≥2.5)	6	4	10 (4.9%)	Discus
h	Hyperopia (SE≥0.5)	24	70	94 (45.9%)	A hig
	Significant astigmatism (cly≤-3.00)	2	4	3 (2.0%)	<ul><li>obse</li><li>Man</li></ul>
	Astigmatism (cly≤-0.75)	28	33	61 (29.8%)	exclu
	Habitual VA <6/9	20	56	76 (37.1%)	unav even
	Subjects with Habitual VA ≤6/12	13	44	57 (27.8%)	Visio
1	Amblyopia ≤6/12 BCVA	1	3	4 (2.0%)	VISIO
N=19 4% VI	Муоріа	6	38	44 (21.5%)	1 Gordo
	Total Hyperopia	4	5	9 (4.4%)	2 Shneo during, and
	Significant Hyperopia (SE≥2.5)	2	0	2 (1.0%)	18. 3 Shneo environme
ye	Hyperopia (SE≥0.5)	2	5	7 (3.4%)	
	Children who needed glasses but			00	

didn't know it







	OD	OS	
	(Range)	(Range)	
Lipportod \/A	0.67 ± 0.36**	$0.69 \pm 0.36$	
Uncorrected VA	0.01-1.20	0.01-1.20	
	$0.74 \pm 0.31$	0.75± 0.31	
Habitual corrected VA	0.01-1.20	0.01-1.20	
Subjective refraction	-0.53 ± 1.66**	-0.56 ± 1.60	
BCVA	0.94 ± 0.14**	0.94 ± 0.13	
Cycloplegic refraction (SE)	-0.08 ± 1.82**	-0.11 ± 1.75	

#### ngth

23.34 ± 1.14\*\* 23.31 ± 1.05\*\*

#### ssion:

- gh prevalence of uncorrected refractive error was erved.
- y children had amblyopia and hyperopia despite a priori usion of children with these conditions. Parents may be ware of their children's visual and refractive statuses, for children who already have glasses.
- on screening in first grade is not sufficient to insure good on in school.

#### ences:

n-Shaag A, Shneor E, Doron R et al. Environmental and Behavioral Factors with Error in Israeli Boys. Optom Vis Sci 2021; 98: 959-970. or E, Doron R, Levine J et al. Objective Behavioral Measures in Children before, d after the COVID-19 Lockdown in Israel. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021; or E, Ostrin LA, Doron R et al. Baseline characteristics in the Israel refraction,

ent, and devices (iREAD) study. Sci Rep 2023; 13: 2855.

